

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,619	04/10/2001	Joseph Tesler	1481.007	7991
7590 02/22/2005		EXAMINER		
Morris E. Cohen			COLILLA, DANIEL JAMES	
Suite 217 1122 Coney Island Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Brooklyn, NY 11230			2854	
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		C1'
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/829,619	TESLER, JOSEPH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel J. Colilla	2854
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rim - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 3 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice. 	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 21-33 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 21-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) accepted or b) object ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

The prior art rejection of the Office action mailed on 5/20/04 is repeated here.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/22/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive of any error in the rejection in the Office action mailed on 5/20/04.

With respect to applicant's argument regarding the co-existence of silk-screening and preparation of Jewish religious scrolls. Although no art has been found in indicating that Jewish religious scrolls have been silk-screened, this does not render the combination novel. In fact the lack of a teaching in one reference is the very reason combination rejections exist. There are most likely thousands if not millions of particular textual documents that have not been silk-screened but would still have been obvious to silk-screen. The combination of the rejection indicates that Jewish religious scrolls are a known document and silk-screening is a known method of printing documents and therefore it would have been obvious to silk-screen Jewish religious scrolls. With respect to applicant's arguments regarding the precise placement of the text, it is a common desire to print a document as perfectly as possible, free from any defects. In reality, there is a limit to the amount of precision that can be had due to economical factors. It would have been obvious to design a system with the amount of precision that is necessary to reach the desired level of perfection of the finished product.

With respect to applicant's argument that there is no evidence of record of silk-screening on animal parchment, it is noted by the examiner that animal parchment is a known printing

media and silk-screen printing is a known method of printing. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize this and would be able to use the general knowledge of the art in order to silk-screen on animal parchment.

Additionally, whether the parchment is Kosher or not, does not appear to have any physical effect on the capability of silk-screening on parchment.

2. This is an RCE of applicant's application. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the previous final Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Colilla whose telephone number is (571)272-2157. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Thur. between 7:30 am and 6:00 pm. Faxes regarding this application can be sent to (703)872 - 9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached at (571)272-2168. Any inquiry of a general nature

Art Unit: 2854

or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 15, 2005

Daniel J. Colilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

Lg. Che